

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/445,139	XU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Zand	2132

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(1) Kambiz Zand.

(3) ____.

(2) Julian H. Cohen.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2005

Time: 12:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection with respect to claim 67

Claims discussed:

1, 15, 29 and 67

Prior art documents discussed:

used in the rejection

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 15 and 29 filed on 03/31/2005 are persuasive. It was further agreed that independent claim 67 be amended by Examiner amendment as depending on independent claim 15. Examiner's amendemend will be reflected in the enclosed notice of allowance. .